Robust Dissipative Control for Linear Multi-variable Systems¹⁾

SHAO Han-Yong^{1,2} FENG Chun-Bo²

¹(Institute of Automation, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165) ²(Institute of Automation, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096) (E-mail: hanyongshao@163.com)

Abstract Robust quadratic dissipative control for a class of linear multi-variable systems with parameter uncertainties is considered, where the uncertainties are expressed in a linear fractional form. For the nominal system without uncertainties, the equivalence between quadratic dissipativeness and positive realness is established, and conditions are derived for linear systems to be quadratic dissipative. As for uncertain systems, it is shown that the robust quadratic dissipative control problem for the uncertain system can be reduced to the corresponding problem for a related system without uncertainties. The control problem concerned can be solved using LMI approach. The results of the paper unify existing results on H_{∞} control and positive real control and provide a more flexible and less conservative control design method.

Key words Dissipative, dissipative control, positive real, uncertain systems, LMI

1 Introduction

The notion of dissipative systems plays important roles in system and control theory and its applications extensively in stability analysis, nonlinear control, adaptive control system design and so on^[1,2]. For last decades, passive control and H_{∞} control have received much attention^[3~7]. However, passive control may lead to conservative results because only the phase information of systems is taken into account, while H_{∞} control can also get conservative results because only the gain information of systems is used for the control. By contrast, dissipative control may lead to less conservative results since dissipativeness is a generalization of passivity and H_{∞} performance, and provides a flexible tradoff between gain and phase. This is the reason why dissipative control problems have attracted much interest in recent years.

In this paper, we will address quadratic dissipative analysis and control problems for linear systems with norm-bounded parameter uncertainties. First we will investigate conditions under which the uncertain system is robust quadratic dissipative. Then we will design both state-feedback and output feedback controllers such that the closed-loop system is robust quadratic dissipative.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives system description and preliminaries. In Section 3, we present the necessary and sufficient conditions for the uncertain system to be robust quadratic dissipative. Robust quadratic dissipative control problems will be discussed in Section 4. Finally some concluding remarks are drawn in Section 5.

2 System description and preliminaries

Notation: In the following, if not explicitly stated, matrices are assumed to have compatible dimensions. The identity and zero matrices are denoted by I and 0, respectively. The notation M > 0 (< 0)stands for M is positive definite (negative definite) and $G^*(s)$ means the complex conjugate transpose of G(s).

Consider the uncertain linear system described by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\Delta}) : \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) &= A_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t), \ \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= C_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state, $\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ the exogenous input and $\boldsymbol{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ the controlled output. $A_{\Delta}, B_{\Delta}, C_{\Delta}$ and D_{Δ} are uncertain parameter matrices satisfying the following assumptions

A1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\Delta} & B_{\Delta} \\ C_{\Delta} & D_{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} H \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix} \Delta(t) [E \quad E_1]$$
(1)

1) Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (69934010)

Received October 31, 2003; in revised form September 20, 2004

Copyright © 2005 by Editorial Office of Acta Automatica Sinica. All rights reserved.

where $\Delta(t)$ depends on uncertain matrix $F(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{i \times j}$:

$$\Delta(t) = F(t)[I + JF(t)]^{-1}, \ F^{\mathrm{T}}(t)F(t) \leqslant I, \ J^{\mathrm{T}}J < I$$
(2)

and $A, B, C, D, H, H_1, E, E_1, J$ are known constant matrices.

In the following the parameter uncertainties are said to be admissible if both (1) and (2) hold.

Now let's recall the notion of quadratic dissipativeness. Consider the nominal system of system (Σ_{Δ}) , that is, system (Σ_{Δ}) satisfying F(t) = 0:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_1) : \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) &= A\boldsymbol{x}(t) + B\boldsymbol{\omega}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= C\boldsymbol{x}(t) + D\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \end{aligned}$$

with its transfer function matrix

$$G(s) = D + C(sI - A)^{-1}B$$

It is assumed that system (Σ_1) is controllable and observable. Given $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}, S \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ with $Q = Q^{T}$ and $R = \mathbb{R}^{T}$. Denote

$$M(s) = G^{*}(s)QG(s) + S^{T}G(s) + G^{*}(s)S + R$$

Then, based on the definition of quadratic dissipativeness in [8], we give a notation of strictly quadratic dissipativeness as follows.

Definition 1. Assume that A is asymptotically stable. System (Σ_1) is said to be (Q, S, R) - dissipative if $M(j\omega) \ge 0, \forall 0 \le \omega < \infty$ and system (Σ_1) is said to be strictly(Q, S, R) - dissipative if $M(j\omega) > 0, \forall 0 \le \omega \le \infty$. For short (Q, S, R) - dissipative and strictly(Q, S, R) - dissipative are referred to as quadratic dissipative and strictly quadratic dissipative, respectively.

When Q = R = 0, S = I, p = m, Definition 1 reduces to the following definition:

Definition 2. Assume that A is asymptotically stable. System (Σ_1) is said to be strictly positive real if $G(j\omega) + G^*(j\omega) \ge 0, 0 \le \omega < \infty$ and system (Σ_1) is said to be extended strictly positive real (ESPR) if $G(j\omega) + G^*(j\omega) > 0, 0 \le \omega \le \infty$.

The strictly (Q, S, R) – dissipative includes not only extended strictly positive realness but also the standard H_{∞} performance as special cases. When Q = -I, S = 0 and R = I, the strictly (Q, S, R) – dissipative reduces to the standard H_{∞} performance. Noting that $Q \leq 0$ holds in these two cases, in the following we suppose

A2.
$$\bar{Q} = -Q \ge 0$$

Definition 2 is a frequency domain characterization of ESPR systems. The state space characterization of ESPR systems can be given by

Lemma 1^[9]. Given system (Σ_1) with p = m, the following statements are equivalent:

a) (Σ_1) is ESPR;

b) There exists
$$0 < P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
 such that $\begin{bmatrix} A^T P + PA & C^T - PB \\ C - B^T P & -(D^T + D) \end{bmatrix} < 0.$

[8] obtained a state space characterization of quadratic dissipative systems by spectral factorization. For the strictly quadratic dissipative case we will give a corresponding result, which is essential to deal with strictly quadratic dissipative control problems. Augmenting system (Σ_1), a system is given as follows.

$$\begin{split} (\Sigma_2) : \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) &= A \boldsymbol{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} S^{\mathrm{T}} C \\ -\bar{Q}^{1/2} C \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} R/2 + S^{\mathrm{T}} D & 0 \\ -\bar{Q}^{1/2} D & I_p/2 \end{bmatrix} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \end{split}$$

we have

Lemma 2. Consider systems (Σ_1) and (Σ_2) , the following statements are equivalent:

a) (Σ_1) is strictly (Q, S, R) – dissipative

b) (Σ_2) is ESPR

c) There exists $0 < P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB - C^{\mathrm{T}}S & C^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(3)

Proof. The transfer function matrix of (Σ_2) is

$$T(s) = \begin{bmatrix} R/2 + S^{\mathrm{T}}G(s) & 0\\ -\bar{Q}^{1/2}G(s) & I_p/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, b) \Leftrightarrow A is asymptotically stable and

$$\begin{bmatrix} R + S^{\mathrm{T}}G(j\omega) + G^{*}(j\omega)S & -G^{*}(j\omega)\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ -\bar{Q}^{1/2}G(j\omega) & I_{p} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \, \forall 0 \leqslant \omega \leqslant \infty$$

 \Leftrightarrow A is asymptotically stable and

$$G^*(j\omega)QG(j\omega) + S^{\mathrm{T}}G(j\omega) + G^*(j\omega)S + R > 0, \ \forall 0 \leq \omega \leq \infty$$

 \Leftrightarrow a).

By Lemma1, b) \Leftrightarrow c). This completes the proof.

By establishing equivalence between the strictly quadratic dissipativeness of system (Σ_1) and the extended strictly positive realness of another associated with (Σ_1) , Lemma 2 gives a state space characterization of strictly quadratic dissipative systems, which will plays a key role in next sections.

3 Robustly dissipative analysis problem

Now we investigate conditions under which the uncertain system (Σ_{Δ}) is strict quadratic dissipative for all admissible uncertainties.

Definition 3. System (Σ_{Δ}) satisfying assumption A.1 is said to be *robustly strict* (Q, S, R) - dissipative if there exists $0 < P \in R^{n \times n}$ such that the following LMI holds:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA_{\Delta} & PB_{\Delta} - C_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}S & C_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C_{\Delta} & -D_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D_{\Delta} - R & D_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C_{\Delta} & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D_{\Delta} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$\tag{4}$$

for all admissible uncertainties.

For short robustly strict(Q, S, R) – dissipative is said to be robustly strict quadratic dissipative. Now that condition (4) is difficult to verify because of the uncertainties, we will reduce the robustly dissipative analysis problem of (Σ_{Δ}) to that of a system without uncertainties. Introducing a system associated with (Σ_{Δ}):

$$(\Sigma_{\varepsilon}): \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = A\boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) = A\boldsymbol{x}(t) + [B \ \varepsilon H]\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t), \ \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0$$
$$\boldsymbol{z}(t) = C_{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^{-1}E\\C \end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^{-1}E_1 & J\\D & \varepsilon H_1 \end{bmatrix}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ will be specified later. Denoting

$$\widehat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}, \ \widehat{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \widehat{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}, \ \widehat{Q}_{-} = -\widehat{Q}$$

then, we have

Theorem 1. System (Σ_{Δ}) is robustly strict(Q, S, R) - dissipative if and only if there exists a scalar $\varepsilon > 0$ such that (Σ_{ε}) is $strictly(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R}) - dissipative$.

Proof. By Definition 3, (Σ_{Δ}) is robustly strict(Q, S, R) - dissipative if and only if there exists $0 < P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA_{\Delta} & PB_{\Delta} - C_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}S & C_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C_{\Delta} & -D_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D_{\Delta} - R & D_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C_{\Delta} & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D_{\Delta} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

for all admissible uncertainties. Observing (1), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB - C^{\mathrm{T}}S & C^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C & -D_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & D_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D_{k} & -I \end{bmatrix} - \\ \begin{bmatrix} PH \\ -S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} \end{bmatrix} \Delta(t)[E \quad E_{1} \quad 0] - \left(\begin{bmatrix} PH \\ -S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} \end{bmatrix} \Delta(t)[E \quad E_{1} \quad 0] - \left(\begin{bmatrix} PH \\ -S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} \end{bmatrix} \Delta(t)[E \quad E_{1} \quad 0] \right)^{\mathrm{T}} < 0$$

This LMI is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB - C^{\mathrm{T}}S & C^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & -I \end{bmatrix} + \\ \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon PH & \varepsilon^{-1}E^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\varepsilon S^{\mathrm{T}}H_1 & \varepsilon^{-1}E_1^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \varepsilon \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -J^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -J & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon PH & \varepsilon^{-1}E^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\varepsilon S^{\mathrm{T}}H_1 & \varepsilon^{-1}E_1^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \varepsilon \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} < 0$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (See [3]). By Schur complements, it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB - C^{\mathrm{T}}S & \varepsilon PH & \varepsilon^{-1}E^{\mathrm{T}} & C^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & -\varepsilon S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} & \varepsilon^{-1}E_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \varepsilon H^{\mathrm{T}}P & -\varepsilon H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}S & -I & J^{\mathrm{T}} & \varepsilon H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \varepsilon^{-1}E & \varepsilon^{-1}E_{1} & J & -I & 0 \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & \varepsilon \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \ \widehat{S} & C_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \ \widehat{Q}_{-}^{1/2} \\ B_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}}P - \widehat{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \ C_{\varepsilon} & -D_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \ \widehat{S} - \widehat{S}^{\mathrm{T}} \ D_{\varepsilon} - \widehat{R} & D_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \ \widehat{Q}_{-}^{1/2} \\ \widehat{Q}_{-}^{1/2} \ C_{\varepsilon} & \widehat{Q}_{-}^{1/2} \ D_{\varepsilon} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Thus, (Σ_{ε}) is $strictly(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R}) - dissipative$.

In view of Theorem 1, the robustly strict quadratic dissipative analysis of system (Σ_{Δ}) is simplified to that of (Σ_{ε}) without uncertainties. The latter can be solved using LMI approach.

Theorem 2. (Σ_{ε}) is $strictly(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R}) - dissipative$ if and only if there exists a scalar $\mu > 0$ and a matrix X > 0 such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} XA^{\mathrm{T}} + AX & B - XC^{\mathrm{T}}S & \mu H & XE^{\mathrm{T}} & XC^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}} - S^{\mathrm{T}}CX & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & -\mu S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} & E_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \mu H^{\mathrm{T}} & -\mu H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}S & -\mu I & \mu J^{\mathrm{T}} & \mu H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ EX & E_{1} & \mu J & -\mu I & 0 \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}CX & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & \mu \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(5)

Proof. By Lemma 2, (Σ_{ε}) is $strictly(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R}) - dissipative$ if and only if there exists a scalar $\varepsilon > 0$ and a matrix P > 0 such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^{\mathrm{T}}P + PA & PB - C^{\mathrm{T}}S & \varepsilon PH & \varepsilon^{-1}E^{\mathrm{T}} & C^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}}P - S^{\mathrm{T}}C & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & -\varepsilon S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} & \varepsilon^{-1}E_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \varepsilon H^{\mathrm{T}}P & -\varepsilon H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}S & -I & J^{\mathrm{T}} & \varepsilon H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \varepsilon^{-1}E & \varepsilon^{-1}E_{1} & J & -I & 0 \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}C & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & \varepsilon \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Pre-multiply and post-multiply the last matrix by $\operatorname{diag}(P^{-1}, I, \varepsilon I, \varepsilon I, I)$ and let $\varepsilon^2 = \mu$ and $P^{-1} = X$. The desired result follows immediately.

4 Robustly dissipative control problem

Consider the following uncertain system

$$(\Sigma_{\Delta s})$$
: $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = A_{\Delta}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + B\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + B_{1\Delta}\boldsymbol{u}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0$

Vol. 31

$$\boldsymbol{z}(t) = C\boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\Delta}\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + D_{12\Delta}\boldsymbol{u}(t)$$

where $\boldsymbol{u}(t) \in R^q$ is the control input, $B_{1\Delta} = B_1 - H\Delta(t)E_2$, $D_{12} = D_{12} - H_1\Delta(t)E_2$, B_1, D_{12} and E_2 are known matrices, and the rest matrices are the same as those in system (Σ_{Δ}) .

4.1 State-feedback design

Suppose the state can be measured, the robustly dissipative control problem via state feedback can be stated as follows: design a state feedback controller $\boldsymbol{u}(t) = K\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ for uncertain system (Σ_{Δ}) such that the closed-loop system is robustly strict quadratic dissipative. It is expected to reduce the robustly dissipative control problem via state feedback to that for a system without uncertainties. To this end, an auxiliary system associated with (Σ_{Δ}) is introduced.

$$egin{aligned} &(\Sigma_{arepsilon s}): \dot{oldsymbol{x}}(t) = Aoldsymbol{x}(t) + B_arepsilonar{oldsymbol{\omega}}(t) + B_1oldsymbol{u}(t), \ oldsymbol{x}(0) = 0 \ &oldsymbol{z}(t) = C_arepsilonoldsymbol{x}(t) + D_arepsilonar{oldsymbol{\omega}}(t) + D_1arepsilonoldsymbol{u}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_{1\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon^{-1} E_2^{\mathrm{T}} & D_{12}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem 3. There exists a state-feedback controller u(t) = Kx(t) for system $(\Sigma_{\Delta s})$ such that the resulting closed-loop system is *robustly strict*(Q, S, R) – *dissipative* if and only if there exists a scalar $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the closed-loop system composed of system $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon s})$ and the controller is *strictly* $(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R})$ – *dissipative*.

Proof. Applying $\boldsymbol{u}(t) = K\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ to $(\Sigma_{\Delta s})$, we get the closed-loop system

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \tilde{A}_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{z}(t) = \tilde{C}_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t)$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_{\Delta} = \tilde{A} - H\Delta(t)\tilde{E}, \ \tilde{C}_{\Delta} = \tilde{C} - H_1\Delta(t)\tilde{E}$$

and

$$\tilde{A} = A + B_1 K, \ \tilde{C} = C + D_{12} K, \ \tilde{E} = E + E_2 K$$

Applying $\boldsymbol{u}(t) = K\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ to $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon s})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\varepsilon k}) : \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) &= \tilde{A}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= \tilde{C}_{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\varepsilon}\bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} = [\varepsilon^{-1}\tilde{E}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \tilde{C}^{\mathrm{T}}].$

Consider the two closed-loop systems above and the desired result is readily obtained using Theorem 1. $\hfill \square$

By Theorem 3, in order to solve the robustly dissipative control problem for uncertain system $(\Sigma_{\Delta s})$, we only need to solve that for $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon s})$.

Theorem 4. There exists a state-feedback controller $\boldsymbol{u}(t) = K\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ for system $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon s})$ such that the resulting closed-system is $strictly((\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R}) - dissipative$ if and only if there exists a scalar $\mu > 0$ and matrices $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $0 < X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} XA^{\mathrm{T}} + W^{\mathrm{T}}B_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + AX + B_{1}W & B - (CX + D_{12}W)^{\mathrm{T}}S & \mu H & (EX + E_{2}W)^{\mathrm{T}} & (CX + D_{12}W)^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ B^{\mathrm{T}} - S^{\mathrm{T}}(CX + D_{12}W) & -D^{\mathrm{T}}S - S^{\mathrm{T}}D - R & -\mu S^{\mathrm{T}}H_{1} & E_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & D^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ \mu H^{\mathrm{T}} & -\mu H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}S & -\mu I & \mu J^{\mathrm{T}} & \mu H_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{Q}^{1/2} \\ EX + E_{2}W & E_{1} & \mu J & -\mu J & 0 \\ \bar{Q}^{1/2}(CX + D_{12}W) & \bar{Q}^{1/2}D & \mu \bar{Q}^{1/2}H_{1} & 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$(6)$$

Moreover, if X^* , W^* and μ^* is a solution to (6), $\boldsymbol{u}(t) = W^*(X^*)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ is a desired controller. **Proof.** (Similar to the proof of Theorem 2, it is omitted.)

4.2 Dynamic output feedback design Consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\Delta f}) &: \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = A_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + B_{1\Delta} \boldsymbol{u}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= C_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + D_{12\Delta} \boldsymbol{u}(t)\\ \boldsymbol{y}(t) &= C_{1\Delta} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{21\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) + D_{22\Delta} \boldsymbol{u}(t) \end{aligned}$$

No. 3

where $\boldsymbol{y}(t) \in R^r$ is the measured output

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{1\Delta} & D_{21\Delta} & D_{22\Delta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} - H_2 \Delta(t) \begin{bmatrix} E & E_1 & E_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 H_2, C_1, D_{21} and D_{22} are known matrices, and the rest matrices are the same in $(\Sigma_{\Delta s})$.

In this section, we will address the robustly dissipative control problem via output feedback, that is, design of a dynamic output feedback controller of the form

$$(\Sigma_f): \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(t) = F_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}(t) + G_0 \boldsymbol{y}(t)$$
$$\boldsymbol{u}(t) = K_0 \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)$$

for $(\Sigma_{\Delta f})$ such that the resulting closed-loop system is *robustly strict*(Q, S, R) – *dissipative*. Similar to the case of state feedback design, an auxiliary system related to $(\Sigma_{\Delta f})$ is constructed

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\varepsilon f}) \ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) &= A\boldsymbol{x}(t) + B_{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) + B_{1} \boldsymbol{u}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= C_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) + D_{1\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{y}(t) &= C_{1} \boldsymbol{x}(t) + D_{2\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t) + D_{22} \boldsymbol{u}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_{2\varepsilon} = [D_{21} \quad \varepsilon H_2]$, and the other matrices are defined as in $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon s})$.

The following theorem gives the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5. Dynamic output feedback controller (Σ_f) for $(\Sigma_{\Delta f})$ achieves robustly strict(Q, S, R)dissipative if and only if the controller (Σ_f) for $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon f})$ achieves $strictly(\widehat{Q}, \widehat{S}, \widehat{R})$ - dissipative for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. On the one hand, the closed-loop system of $(\Sigma_{\Delta f})$ together with (Σ_f) is

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{\Delta c}) &: \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(t) = \bar{A}_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\eta}(t) + \bar{B}_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= \bar{C}_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\eta}(t) + D_{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(t) = [\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \quad \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)]^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \bar{C}_{\Delta} = \bar{C} - H_{1}\Delta(t)\bar{E}, \quad \bar{C} = [C \quad D_{12}K_{0}]$$
$$\bar{E} = [E \quad E_{2}K_{0}], \quad [\bar{A}_{\Delta} \quad \bar{B}_{\Delta}] = [\bar{A} \quad \bar{B}] - \bar{H}\Delta(t)[\bar{E} \quad E_{1}]$$
$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A \quad B_{1}K_{0} \\ G_{0}C_{1} \quad F_{0} + G_{0}D_{22}K_{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ G_{0}D_{21} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{H} = \begin{bmatrix} H \\ G_{0}H_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

on the other hand, the closed-loop system composed of $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon f})$ and (Σ_f) is

$$\begin{split} (\Sigma_{\varepsilon c}) &: \dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(t) = \bar{A} \boldsymbol{\eta}(t) + \bar{B}_{\varepsilon} \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(t), \quad \boldsymbol{x}(0) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{z}(t) &= \bar{C}_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\eta}(t) + D_{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\omega}(t) \end{split}$$

where $\bar{B}_{\varepsilon} = [\bar{B} \quad \varepsilon \bar{H}], \ \bar{C}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} = [\varepsilon^{-1} \bar{E}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \bar{C}^{\mathrm{T}}].$

For systems $(\Sigma_{\Delta c})$ and $(\Sigma_{\varepsilon c})$ using Theorem 1, the desired result is readily obtained.

Theorem 5 provides necessary and sufficient conditions for robustly dissipative control problems via output feedback. From Theorem 5 together with Theorem 3, it can be concluded that robustly dissipative control problems can be converted to those without uncertainties.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have studied strictly quadratic dissipative analysis and control problems for a class of uncertain systems. Necessary and sufficient conditions have been obtained for the uncertain systems to be robustly strict quadratic dissipative. It has been shown that robust strict quadratic dissipative control problems for the uncertain systems can be reduced to those for some related systems without uncertainties. The latter can be solved using an LMI approach. As a byproduct, it has been shown that strictly quadratic disspativeness of a linear system is equivalent to extended strictly positive realness of a related system. By the equivalence, strictly quadratic disspativeness characterization of linear systems has been derived. The proof, compared with that of [8], is simple.

References

- 1 Hill D J, Moland P J. Stability of nonlinear dissipative systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1976, **AC-21**(5): 708~711
- 2 Hill D J, Moland P J. Stability results for nonlinear feedback systems. Automatica, 1977, 13(4): 377~382
- 3 Xie L. Output feedback H_∞ control of systems with parameter uncertainty. International Journal Control, 1996(63): 741 ~750
- 4 SHAO Han-Yong, FENG Chun-Bo. Robustly strict positive real analysis and output feedback control for a class of uncertain MIMO linear systems. *Journal of Southeast University*, 2003, **33**(4): 492~494
- 5 SHAO Han-Yong, FENG Chun-Bo. Robustness analysis and feedback control for strictly positive real linear MIMO systems. Control and Decision, 2004, 19(3): 277~280.
- 6 Hadad W M, Bernstein D S. Robust stabilization with positive real uncertainty: Beyond the small gain theorem. Systems Control Letters, 1991, 17(3): 191~208
- 7 Mahmoud M, Xie L. Positive real analysis and synthesis of uncertain discrete time systems. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems, 2000, 47(3): 403~406
- 8 Gupta Sandeep, Joshi Suresh M. State space characterization and robust stabilization of dissipative LIT systems. In: Proceedings of the America Control Conference. Seattle, Washington, 1995. 3616~3619
- 9 Sun Wei-Qian, Khargoneker Pramod P, Shim Duksun. Solution to the positive real control problem for linear tine-invariant systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 1994, 39(10): 2034~2046
- 10 Tan Zhi-Qiang, Soh Yeng Chai, Xie Li-Hua. Dissipative control for linear discrete-time systems. Automatica, 1999, 35(9): 1557~1564

SHAO Han-Yong Ph. D. candidate at Southeast University. His research interests include passivity analysis and robust control.

FENG Chun-Bo Academician of Chinese Academy of Sciences. His research interests include complex systems control, passive control, and switching control.