# Construction of Control Lyapunov Functions for a Class of Nonlinear Systems

CAI Xiu-Shan<sup>1</sup> HAN Zheng-Zhi<sup>2</sup> WANG Xiao-Dong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(College of Information Science and Engineering, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004) <sup>2</sup>(Department of Automation, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030)

(E-mail: xiushan@zjnu.cn)

**Abstract** The construction of control Lyapunov functions for a class of nonlinear systems is considered. We develop a method by which a control Lyapunov function for the feedback linearizable part can be constructed systematically via Lyapunov equation. Moreover, by a control Lyapunov function of the feedback linearizable part and a Lyapunov function of the zero dynamics, a control Lyapunov function for the overall nonlinear system is established.

Key words Nonlinear systems, control Lyapunov functions, semiglobal stabilization, zero dynamics.

# 1 Introduction

The seemingly obvious concept of a control Lyapunov function (CLF) introduced by Artstein<sup>[1]</sup> and Sontag<sup>[2]</sup> has made a tremendous impact on stabilization theory. It converts stability descriptions into tools for solving stabilization tasks. One way to stabilize a nonlinear system is to select a Lyapunov function V(x) and then try to find a feedback control u(x) that renders  $\dot{V}(x, u(x))$  negative definite. With an arbitrary choice of V(x) this attempt may fail, but if V(x) is a CLF, there are many control laws that render  $\dot{V}(x, u(x))$  negative definite, one of which is given by a formula due to Sontag<sup>[3]</sup>. The construction of a CLF is a hard problem, which has been solved for special classes of systems. For example, when the system is in the strict feedback form, CLFs can be constructed by backstepping<sup>[4]</sup>. For a linear system, we have obtained a universal formula to construct CLFs<sup>[5]</sup>.

In this paper, the construction of control Lyapunov functions for a class of nonlinear systems is considered. For the feedback linearizable part, CLFs can be constructed by the method presented in [5]. Based on a CLF of the feedback linearizable part and a Lyapunov function of the zero dynamics, we present a method to obtain a CLF for the overall nonlinear system.

# 2 System description and preliminaries

Consider a nonlinear system described by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} = Q(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \tag{1a}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = A\boldsymbol{x} + B[F(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) + G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{u}]$$
(1b)

$$\boldsymbol{y} = C\boldsymbol{x} \tag{1c}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{x} \in R^r$ ,  $\boldsymbol{z} \in R^{n-r}$  are the states,  $\boldsymbol{u} \in R^m$  is the input,  $\boldsymbol{y} \in R^l$  is the output.  $Q(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ :  $R^n \to R^{n-r}$  is smooth.  $f_i, g_{ij} : R^n \to R$ , are assumed to be smooth with  $f_i(0,0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots l$ .  $F(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = [f_1(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \quad f_2(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \quad \cdots \quad f_l(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})]^T$ ,  $G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = (g_{ij}(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}))_{l \times m}$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})) = l$ .  $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots r_l\}$  is a vector relative degree of system (1), and  $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_l = r < n$ . (1b) has the following cannonical form:

$$A = \operatorname{blockdiag}\{A_1, \dots, A_l\}, \quad A_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \operatorname{blockdiag}\{B_1, \dots, B_l\}$$
$$\boldsymbol{B}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times r_i}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \boldsymbol{C} = \operatorname{blockdiag}\{\boldsymbol{C}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{C}_l\}, \quad \boldsymbol{C}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{1 \times r_i}.$$

From Isidori<sup>[6]</sup>, if an affine nonlinear system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + g(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{u} \\ \boldsymbol{y} = h(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{cases}$$
(2)

 Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province P. R. China (Y105141), Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province P. R. China (A0510025), and Technological Project of Zhejiang Education Department, P. R. China(20050291).

Received July 15, 2004; in revised form April 19, 2006

No. 5

has relative degree r < n for any  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and the distribution  $G = \operatorname{span}(g(\boldsymbol{x}))$  is involutive, then there

exists a global diffeomorphism on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that transforms system (2) into system (1).

The dynamics of

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} = Q(\boldsymbol{z}, 0) \tag{3}$$

is said to be the zero dynamics of system (1).

Assume M is an analytic n-dimensional manifold. Let  $V: M \to R^+$  be a differential function. V is said to be positive definite on M if V(x) > 0,  $x \in M - \{0\}$  and V(0) = 0; V is said to be proper if  $V(\boldsymbol{x}) \to \infty$  as  $\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \to \infty$ .

**Definition 1.** If there exists a differential, proper and positive definite function  $V: M \to R^+$ such that

$$\inf(L_f V(\boldsymbol{x}) + L_g V(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{u}) < 0 \tag{4}$$

for each  $x \in M - \{0\}$ , then V(x) is said to be a control Lyapunov function (CLF) for system (2) on M.

Assumption 1. For system (3), there exists an open set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$ , a nonnegative real number h > 1, and a differential function  $U : \Lambda \to R^+$  such that the set  $\{z : U(z) \leq h+1\}$  is a compact subset of  $\Lambda$ , and we have

$$\dot{U}(\boldsymbol{z}) \leqslant -\phi_1(\boldsymbol{z}) \tag{5}$$

where  $\phi_1(z)$  is continuous on  $\Lambda$  and positive definite on the set  $\{z : U(z) \leq h+1\}$ .

**Lemma**<sup>[7]</sup>. Let E be a compact set in a product space  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , and denote by  $E_z$  and  $E_x$ its respective projections (*i.e.*,  $E \subset E_z \times E_x$ ). Let  $\chi(z)$  be a continuous real function on  $E_z$  which is positive definite on the projection of the set  $\{(z, x) : x = 0\} \cap E$ . Let  $\psi(x)$  be a continuous real function on  $E_x$  which is positive definite on  $E_x/\{0\}$ . Let  $\xi(z, x)$  be a continuous real function on E which satisfies  $\xi(z, x) = 0$  for any  $(z, x) \in \{(z, x) : x = 0\} \cap E$ . let  $\kappa$  be a function of class- $K_{\infty}$ . There exists a positive real number  $K_*$  such that for all  $K \ge K_*$ ,

$$-\chi(\boldsymbol{z}) - \kappa(K)\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) + \xi(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) < 0, \forall (\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) \in E$$
(6)

#### 3 Main results

Consider system (1b). Divide  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  into their block forms as follows:

$$A_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{i-1} & A_{i2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } A_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_{i2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, B_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume  $\beta_{i1}, \beta_{i2}, \dots, \beta_{i,r_{i-1}}$  are the coefficients of a Hurwitz polynomial

$$\lambda^{r_i-1} + \beta_{i,r_i-1}\lambda^{r_i-2} + \dots + \beta_{i2}\lambda + \beta_{i1} \tag{7}$$

Let  $p_{i3} > 0$ ,  $P_{i2} \in R^{r_i - 1}$ ,  $p_{i3}^{-1} P_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{i1} & \beta_{i2} & \cdots & \beta_{i, r_i - 1} \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$A_{i-1} - \boldsymbol{A}_{i2} \boldsymbol{p}_{i3}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\beta_{i1} & -\beta_{i2} & \cdots & -\beta_{i,r_i-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a Hurwitz matrix. Thus Lyapunov equation

$$S_{r_i-1}(A_{i-1} - A_{i2}\boldsymbol{p}_{i3}^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}}) + (A_{i-1} - A_{i2}\boldsymbol{p}_{i3}^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}S_{r_i-1} = -KF_i$$
(8)

has a unique positive definite solution  $S_{r_i-1}$  for an arbitrary positive definite matrix  $F_i$  and K > 0. Let  $P_{r_i-1} = S_{r_i-1} + p_{i3}^{-1}P_{i2}P_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}}$ . For  $i = 1, 2 \cdots l$ , then each  $P_{r_i-1}$  is positive definite. Since det  $\begin{bmatrix} P_{r_i-1} & P_{i2} \\ P_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}} & p_{i3} \end{bmatrix} = p_{i3} \det[P_{r_i-1} - p_{i3}^{-1}P_{i2}P_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}}] = p_{i3} \det[S_{r_i-1}] > 0, P_i = \begin{bmatrix} P_{r_i-1} & P_{i2} \\ P_{i2}^{\mathrm{T}} & p_{i3} \end{bmatrix}$  is positive definite provided that  $P_{r_i-1}$  is positive definite. Use block matrix to express  $x^{\mathrm{T}}$ , that is,

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{x}_{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}_{i,r_{i}-1}^{\mathrm{T}} & x_{i,r_{i}} \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i,r_{i}-1}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i1} & x_{i2} & \cdots & x_{i,r_{i}-1} \end{bmatrix}, i = 1, 2 \cdots l.$$

Denote P = blockdiag  $\{P_1, \dots, P_l\}$ , and

$$V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{x} \tag{9}$$

Let

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = A\boldsymbol{x} + B\boldsymbol{v} \tag{10}$$

**Theorem 1.**  $V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{x}$  is a CLF for system (10) on  $R^{r}$ . **Theorem 2.**  $V(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} P \boldsymbol{x}$  is a CLF for system (1b) on  $R^{r}$ . Proofs of these Theorems are similar to that of Theorem 1 given in [5], so they are omitted. In order to give Theorem 3, for any given c > 0, denote  $S_1 = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : V(\boldsymbol{x}) < c+1 \} \times \{ \boldsymbol{z} : U(\boldsymbol{z}) < h+1 \}$ . Define the function

$$W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{hU(\boldsymbol{z})}{h+1 - U(\boldsymbol{z})} + \frac{cV(\boldsymbol{x})}{c+1 - V(\boldsymbol{x})}$$
(11)

Then  $W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) : S_1 \to R^+$  is proper on  $S_1$ .

Theorem 3. If system (1) satisfies Assumption 1, then  $W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) : S_1 \to R^+$  is a CLF for system (1) on  $S = \{(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) : W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \leq c^2 + h^2 + 1\}$ . **Proof.** Assume  $W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \leq c^2 + h^2 + 1$ . This implies

$$V(\boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant (c+1)\frac{c^2+h^2+1}{c^2+h^2+1+c}, U(\boldsymbol{z}) \leqslant (h+1)\frac{c^2+h^2+1}{c^2+h^2+1+h}$$
(12)

From (12), we get, when  $W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \leq c^2 + h^2 + 1$ ,

$$\frac{c}{c+1} \leqslant \frac{c(c+1)}{(c+1-V)^2} \leqslant \frac{(c^2+h^2+1+c)^2}{c(c+1)}$$
(13)

$$\frac{h}{h+1} \leqslant \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U)^2} \leqslant \frac{(c^2+h^2+1+h)^2}{h(h+1)}$$
(14)

By (12) and Assumption (1), the set S is compact. Also, from (12) the projections of S satisfy

$$S_{\boldsymbol{x}} \subset \{ \boldsymbol{x} : V(\boldsymbol{x}) < c+1 \}, S_{\boldsymbol{z}} \subset \{ \boldsymbol{z} : U(\boldsymbol{z}) < h+1 \}$$

$$(15)$$

Let 
$$f(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} Q(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \\ A\boldsymbol{x} + BF(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}, g(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ BG(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then we have  
$$L_f W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} Q(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{c(c+1)}{(c+1-V(\boldsymbol{x}))^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} (A\boldsymbol{x} + BF(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}))$$
(16)

$$L_g W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{c(c+1)}{(c+1-V(\boldsymbol{x}))^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} (BG(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}))$$
(17)

Let  $\boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_{1,r_{1}-1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{X}_{2,r_{2}-1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{X}_{l,r_{l}-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $F = \text{block diag} \begin{bmatrix} F_{1} & F_{2} & \cdots & F_{l} \end{bmatrix}$ . By Theorem 1, when  $\frac{\partial V(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} B = 0$ , we have

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(PA + A^{\mathrm{T}}P)\boldsymbol{x} = -K\boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}}F\boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}$$
(18)

Since rank $(G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})) = l$ , by (17) we have

$$L_g W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial V}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} B = 0$$
 (19)

By (14),(16), and (18), we get, when  $L_g W(z, x) = 0, x \neq 0$ ,

$$L_{f}W(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^{2}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} Q(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{c(c+1)}{(c+1-V(\boldsymbol{x}))^{2}} \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathrm{T}} (PA + A^{\mathrm{T}}P) \boldsymbol{x} = \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^{2}} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} Q(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) - K \frac{c(c+1)}{(c+1-V(\boldsymbol{x}))^{2}} \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}} F \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}$$
(20)

In view of (11), (12) and Assumption 1, then

$$L_{f}W(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) \leq -\frac{Kc}{c+1}\boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}}F\boldsymbol{X}_{r-l} + \frac{(c^{2}+h^{2}+1+h)^{2}}{h(h+1)} |\frac{\partial U(\boldsymbol{z})}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}(Q(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) - Q(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{0}))| - \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^{2}}\phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{z})$$
(21)

Let us define

$$\chi(z) = \frac{h(h+1)}{2(h+1-U(z))^2} \phi_1(z), \quad \psi(x) = \frac{Kc}{2(c+1)} X_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}} F X_{r-l}$$
  

$$\kappa = K, \quad \xi(z,x) = \frac{(c^2+h^2+1+h)^2}{h(h+1)} |\frac{\partial U(z)}{\partial z} (Q(z,x) - Q(z,\mathbf{0}))|$$
(22)

From Assumption 1,  $\chi(z)$  is continuous on  $S_z$  and positive definite on the projection of the set  $\{(z, x) : x = 0\} \cap S$ . Since  $x_{ir_i} = -X_{i,r_i-1}^T P_{i2} p_{i3}^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2 \cdots l$ ,  $\psi(x)$  is positive definite on  $S_x/\{0\}$ . From (22), it follows that  $\psi(x)$  is continuous on  $S_x$ , and  $\xi(z, x) = 0$ , for any  $(z, x) \in \{(z, x) : x = 0\} \cap S$ . This demonstrates that the conditions of Lemma are satisfied. It follows that there exists a positive real number  $K_*$  such that for all  $K \ge K_*$ ,

$$\xi(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) < \chi(\boldsymbol{z}) + K\psi(\boldsymbol{x}), \forall (\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \in S$$
(23)

From (20)~(22), we get, when  $L_g W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$ ,

$$L_f W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \leqslant -\frac{Kc}{2(c+1)} \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}} F \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l} - \frac{h(h+1)}{2(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^2} \phi_1(\boldsymbol{z})$$
(24)

Let  $\phi(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{Kc}{2(c+1)} \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l}^{\mathrm{T}} F \boldsymbol{X}_{r-l} + \frac{h(h+1)}{2(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^2} \phi_1(\boldsymbol{z})$ . From (24), when  $L_g W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$ ,  $L_f W(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \leq -\phi(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$ . From (22) and (23), we have  $\phi(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x})$  is continuous on  $S_1$ , positive definite on S.

On the other hand, from Assumption 1, when  $L_gW(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \boldsymbol{x} = 0, \boldsymbol{z} \neq \boldsymbol{0}, \ L_fW(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{h(h+1)}{(h+1-U(\boldsymbol{z}))^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} Q(\boldsymbol{z},0) \leqslant -\frac{h}{h+1} \phi_1(\boldsymbol{z})$ . In conclusion,  $L_fW(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) < 0$ , for  $L_gW(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, (\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0$ . Thus  $W(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x})$  is a CLF for system (1) on S.

### 4 Conclusion

The construction of control Lyapunov functions for a class of nonlinear systems is considered. We develop a method by which a control Lyapunov function for the feedback linearizable part can be constructed systematically *via* Lyapunov equation. Moreover, by a control Lyapunov function of the feedback linearizable part and a Lyapunov function of the zero dynamics, a control Lyapunov function for the overall nonlinear system is established.

### References

- 1 Artstein Z. Stabilization with relaxed controls. Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 1983, 7(11): 1163~1173
- 2 Sontag E D. A Lyapunov-like characterization of asymptotic controllability. SIAM Journal Control and Optimization, 1983, **21**(3): 462~471
- 3 Sontag D. A 'Universal' construction of Artstein's theorem on nonlinear stabilization. Systems and Control Letters, 1989, 13(2): 117~123
- 4 Kokotovic P V, Arcak M. Constructive nonlinear control: A historical perspective. Automatica, 2001, **37**(5): 637~662
- 5 Cai X S, Han Z Z. Universal construction of control Lyapunov functins for linear systems. Latin American Applied Research, 2006, **36**(1): 15~22
- 6 Isidori A. Nonlinear Control Systems. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- 7 Teel A R, Praly L. Tools for semiglobal stabilization by partial state and output feedback. SIAM Journal Control and Optimization, 1995, 33(5): 1443~1485

**CAI Xiu-Shan** Received her Ph.D. degree from Shanghai Jiaotong University in 2005. She is an associate professor at Zhejiang Normal University. Her research interests include nonlinear control and its applications.

HAN Zheng-Zhi Professor at Department of Automation, Shanghai Jiaotong University. His research interests include robotics, computer vision, and nonlinear control and its applications.

**WANG Xiao-Dong** Professor at Zhejiang Normal University. His research interests include robotics, computer vision, and nonlinear control and its applications.