

# Robust $H_\infty$ Filtering for a Class of Uncertain Lurie Time-delay Singular Systems

LU Ren-Quan<sup>1,2</sup> WANG Jun-Hong<sup>1</sup> XUE An-Ke<sup>1</sup> SU Hong-Ye<sup>2</sup> CHU Jian<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract** This paper deals with the problem of the robust  $H_\infty$  filtering for a class of Lurie singular systems with state time-delays, parameter uncertainties and unknown statistics characteristics but with limited power disturbance, aiming to design a robustly stable filter such that the uncertain Lurie time-delay singular systems are not only regular, impulse free and stable, but also have a prescribed level of  $H_\infty$  performance for the filtering error dynamics for all admissible uncertainties. A sufficient condition for the existence of such a filter is proposed in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). When a solution to this set of LMIs exists, the parametric matrices of a desired filter can be easily obtained using LMI toolbox.

**Key words** Lurie singular system, robust  $H_\infty$  filtering, linear matrix inequality (LMI)

## 1 Introduction

Lurie system is a typical nonlinear system. In this paper, we study the problem of robust  $H_\infty$  filtering for Lurie singular systems with both time-delays and parameter uncertainties. The parametric uncertainties are assumed to be time-varying, but norm-bounded; moreover, time-delay is also assumed to be unknown time-varying, but bounded; the disturbance input has unknown statistical characteristics but limited power. The design methodology presented in this paper is a 2-step procedure; that is, firstly, a sufficient condition based on LMIs which guarantees both the robust stability and a prescribed level of  $H_\infty$  performance for uncertain Lurie time-delay singular systems is obtained; secondly, the synthesis of a robust  $H_\infty$  filter based on the above-derived sufficient condition is given such that the filtering error system is robustly stable and has a prescribed  $H_\infty$  performance level for all admissible uncertainties is obtained.

The suitable  $H_\infty$  filter can be constructed through a convex optimization problem, which can be effectively handled by Matlab/LMI Toolbox. Finally, an illustrative example is given to show the effectiveness of the proposed approach.

## 2 System description and definitions

Consider the following uncertain Lurie singular systems with time-delays:

$$\Sigma: E\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = (A_0 + \Delta A_0(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{x}(t) + (A_1 + \Delta A_1(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{x}(t-h(t)) + E_0\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)) + (B_0 + \Delta B_0(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{w}(t) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = (C_0 + \Delta C_0(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{x}(t) + (C_1 + \Delta C_1(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{x}(t-h(t)) + E_1\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)) + (B_1 + \Delta B_1(\mathbf{x}, t))\mathbf{w}(t) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = L\mathbf{x}(t) \quad (3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) = C\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi}(t), \mathbf{w}(t) = \mathbf{0}, t \in [-h, 0]$$

where  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in R^n$  is the state vector,  $\mathbf{w}(t) \in R^p$  is the disturbance input vector from  $L_2[0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbf{y}(t) \in R^{n_1}$  is the measurement output vector, and  $\mathbf{z}(t) \in R^{n_2}$  is the estimated

state vector. The matrix  $E \in R^{n \times n}$  may be singular. We also assume that  $\text{rank } E = r \leq n$ .  $A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1, C_0, C_1, L, D, E_0, E_1$ , and  $C$  are known real constant matrices.  $\Delta A_0(\cdot), \Delta A_1(\cdot), \Delta B_0(\cdot), \Delta B_1(\cdot), \Delta C_0(\cdot)$  and  $\Delta C_1(\cdot)$  are time-variant matrices representing norm-bounded parameter uncertainties, and are assumed to be of the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta A_0(\cdot) & \Delta A_1(\cdot) & \Delta B_0(\cdot) \\ \Delta C_0(\cdot) & \Delta C_1(\cdot) & \Delta B_1(\cdot) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ G_2 \end{bmatrix} F(\mathbf{x}, t) \begin{bmatrix} H_1 & H_2 & H_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where  $G_1, G_2, H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$  are known real constant matrices. The uncertain matrix  $F(\mathbf{x}, t)$  with Lebesgue measurable elements satisfies

$$F^T(\mathbf{x}, t)F(\mathbf{x}, t) \leq I, \forall t \quad (5)$$

$h(t)$  is time-varying bounded delay satisfying  $0 \leq h(t) \leq h, \dot{h}(t) \leq d \leq 1$ .  $\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)$  is smooth vector-valued continuous initial function defined in the Banach space  $C_h$ . It is assumed that  $\boldsymbol{\phi}^T(t)\boldsymbol{\phi}(t) \leq \nu$ , where  $\nu > 0$  can be seen as an upper bound on the initial states. In this paper, every nonlinear term is assumed to be of the form

$$f_j(\cdot) \in K_j[0, k_j] = \{f_j(\sigma_j) | f_j(0) = 0, \|\dot{f}_j(\sigma_j)\| \leq \alpha, 0 < \sigma_j f_j(\sigma_j) \leq k_j \sigma_j^2 (\sigma_j \neq 0)\} \quad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $k_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are positive scalars.

Throughout this paper, we will use the following concepts and introduce the following useful definitions.

### Definition 1<sup>[1]</sup>.

1) The pair  $(E, A)$  is said to be regular if  $\det(sE - A)$  is not identically zero.

2) The pair  $(E, A)$  is said to be impulse free if  $\deg(\det(sE - A)) = \text{rank } E$ .

### Definition 2<sup>[1]</sup>.

1) The uncertain Lur'e time-delay singular system (1) is said to be regular and impulse free if the pair  $(E, A)$  is regular and impulse free for all admissible uncertainties described in (4) and (5).

2) The uncertain Lurie time-delay singular system (1) is said to be stable if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a scalar  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for any compatible initial conditions  $\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)$  satisfying  $\sup_{-\tau \leq t \leq 0} \|\boldsymbol{\phi}(t)\| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$ , the solution  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  of system (1) satisfies  $\|\mathbf{x}(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$ , for  $t \geq 0$ ; furthermore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x}(t)\| = 0$  for all admissible uncertainties described in (4) and (5).

**Definition 3.** The uncertain Lurie time-delay singular systems (1) is said to be robustly stable if system (1) with

Received September 14, 2005; in revised form April 7, 2006  
Supported by National Young Science Foundation of P. R. China (60604003), National Natural Science Key Foundation of P. R. China (60434020), National Key Technologies Research and Development Program in the 10th Five-year Plan (2001BA204B01)  
1. Institute of Information & Control, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018 P. R. China 2. National Laboratory of Industrial Control Technology, Institute of Advanced Process Control, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027 P. R. China  
DOI: 10.1360/aas-007-0292

$w(t) = \mathbf{0}$  is regular, impulse free and stable for all admissible uncertainties.

**Definition 4.** (The problem of robust  $H_\infty$  filtering) The uncertain Lurie singular system  $\Sigma$  is said to have a robust  $H_\infty$  performance if there exists a singular and robustly stable filter of order  $n$  with the following form:

$$\Sigma_f : \mathbf{0} = A_f \mathbf{x}_f(t) + B_f \mathbf{y}(t) \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{z}_f(t) = L_f \mathbf{x}_f(t), \mathbf{x}_f(0) = \mathbf{0} \tag{8}$$

such that not only the filtering error system is robustly stable in the sense of Definition 3, but also the filtering error

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \mathbf{z}(t) - \mathbf{z}_f(t) \tag{9}$$

satisfies the following condition:

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{\mathbf{z}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{z}} dt \leq \gamma^2 \left( \int_0^\infty \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} dt + \tau \right) \tag{10}$$

for given scalars  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\sigma > 0$ , for all non-zero  $w(t) \in L_2[0, \infty)$  and for all parameter uncertainties. Note that the scalar  $\sigma > 0$  is induced by the initial condition of the system.

### 3 Main results

In this section, the problems of robust stability and robust  $H_\infty$  filtering based on LMI approach for systems (1)~(4) are discussed. The following theorems are useful for derivation of the main result.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the uncertain Lurie time-delay singular system  $\Sigma$ . This system is robustly stable and

$$\int_0^\infty z^T z dt \leq \gamma^2 \left( \int_0^\infty w^T w dt + \tau \right) \tag{11}$$

for given scalars  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\sigma > 0$ , if there exist a matrix  $P$ , a positive definite symmetric matrix  $Q > 0$ , and scalars  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\theta > 0$  such that the following conditions hold, *i.e.*,

$$EP^T = PE^T \geq 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda_1 + h\lambda_2 \leq \tau\gamma^2/\nu \tag{12'}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{M}_1 & \hat{M}_2 \\ \hat{M}_3 & \hat{M}_4 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{12''}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & B_0 P^T & A_1 P^T \\ P B_0^T & -\gamma^2 I & 0 \\ P A_1^T & 0 & -(1-d)Q \end{bmatrix} \\ M_{11} &= A_0 P^T + P A_0^T + Q \\ \hat{M}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} E_0 & P C^T K^T & P L^T & P H_1^T & G_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P H_3^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P H_2^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{M}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} E_0^T & 0 & 0 \\ K C P^T & 0 & 0 \\ L P^T & 0 & 0 \\ H_1 P^T & H_3 P^T & H_2 P^T \\ G_1^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{M}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon^{-1} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta^{-1} I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\beta > 0$  is the unique root of the equation

$$\beta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 h e^{\beta h}) = \lambda_{\tilde{M}} \tag{13}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}E) \\ \lambda_2 &= \lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}QP^{-T}) \\ \lambda_{\tilde{M}} &= \lambda_{\max}(P^{-1}\tilde{M}_1P^{-T}) \\ \tilde{M}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{M}_{11} & \tilde{M}_{12} \\ \tilde{M}_{13} & \tilde{M}_{14} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}_{11} &= M_{11} + \varepsilon E_0 E_0^T + \theta G_1 G_1^T + \theta^{-1} P H_1^T H_1 P^T \\ \tilde{M}_{12} &= A_1 P^T + \theta^{-1} P H_1^T H_2 P^T \\ \tilde{M}_{13} &= P A_1^T + \theta^{-1} P H_2^T H_1 P^T \\ \tilde{M}_{14} &= -(1-d)Q + \theta^{-1} P H_2^T H_2 P^T \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** First, we prove the robust stability of system  $\Sigma$ . To this end, we consider the system  $\Sigma$  with  $w(t) = \mathbf{0}$ ; that is

$$E\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A_{0\Delta}\mathbf{x}(t) + A_{1\Delta}\mathbf{x}(t - h_i(t)) + E_0\mathbf{f}(\sigma(t)) \tag{14}$$

where  $A_{0\Delta} = A_0 + \Delta A_0()$ , and  $A_{1\Delta} = A_1 + \Delta A_1()$ .

By Schur complement argument, the LMI (12'') is equivalent to  $A_0 P^T + P A_0^T + Q < 0$ , and  $Q > 0$ . Then it is easy to see that  $A_0 P^T + P A_0^T < 0$ . From Dai<sup>[2]</sup>, it follows that the pair  $(E, A_0)$  is regular and impulse free. Therefore, by Definition 2, the singular delay system  $\Sigma$  is regular and impulse free.

Dai<sup>[2]</sup> showed that if the pair  $(E, A_0)$  is regular and impulse free, then there exist two orthogonal matrices  $L_1$  and  $L_2 \in R^{n \times n}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E} &:= L_1 E L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{A} &:= L_1 A_0 L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-r} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $I_r \in R^{r \times r}$ , and  $I_{n-r} \in R^{(n-r) \times (n-r)}$  are identity matrices,  $A_r \in R^{r \times r}$ . According to (15), let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_1 &:= L_1 A_1 L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_{11} & \bar{A}_{12} \\ \bar{A}_{21} & \bar{A}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{B}_0 &:= L_1 B_0 L_2, \bar{E}_0 := L_1 E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E}_{01} \\ \bar{E}_{02} \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{C} &:= L_2^{-1} C L_2, \bar{K} := K L_2 \\ \bar{Q}_1 &:= L_1 Q L_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} \\ \bar{Q}_{21} & \bar{Q}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Pre-multiplying and post-multiplying the left and right-hand sides of (12), (12'), and (12'') by  $\text{diag}\{L_1, L_1, L_1, I, I, I, I, I\}$  and  $\text{diag}\{L_1^T, L_1^T, L_1^T, I, I, I, I, I\}$ , respectively, we have

$$\bar{E} \bar{P}^T = \bar{P} \bar{E}^T \geq 0 \tag{17}$$

and

$$\bar{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{M}_1 & \tilde{M}_2 \\ \tilde{M}_3 & \tilde{M}_4 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{M}_{11} & \bar{E}_0 \bar{P}^T & \bar{A}_1 \bar{P}^T \\ \bar{P} \bar{B}_0^T & -\gamma^2 I & 0 \\ \bar{P} \bar{A}^T & 0 & -(1-d)\bar{Q} \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{M}_{11} &= \bar{A}_0 \bar{P}^T + \bar{P} \bar{A}_0^T + \bar{Q} \\ \bar{M}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E}_0 & \bar{P} \bar{C}^T \bar{K}^T & \bar{P} \bar{L}^T & \bar{P} \bar{H}_1^T & \bar{G}_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{P} \bar{H}_3^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{P} \bar{H}_2^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{M}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E}_0^T & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{K} \bar{C} \bar{P}^T & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{L} \bar{P}^T & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{H}_1 \bar{P}^T & \bar{H}_3 \bar{P}^T & \bar{H}_2 \bar{P}^T \\ \bar{G}_1^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{M}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon^{-1} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta^{-1} I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$\xi(t) = L_2^{-1} x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(t) \\ \xi_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where  $\xi_1(t) \in R^r$  and  $\xi_2(t) \in R^{n-r}$ . Substituting (15) and (16) into the singular delay system (14), we get

$$\dot{\bar{E}}\xi(t) = \bar{A}_{0\Delta} x(t) + \bar{A}_{1\Delta} x(t-h(t)) + \bar{E}_0 f(\eta(t)) \quad (20)$$

where  $\eta(t) = \bar{C}\xi(t)$ . It is easy to see that the stability of the uncertain Lurie time-delay singular system (20) is equivalent to that of system (17). The singular delay system (20) can also be decomposed into

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi}_1(t) &= A_{r\Delta} \xi_1(t) + \bar{A}_{11\Delta} \xi_1(t-h(t)) \\ &\quad + \bar{A}_{12\Delta} \xi_2(t-h(t)) + \bar{E}_{01} f(\eta(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \xi_2(t) + \bar{A}_{21\Delta} \xi_1(t-h(t)) \\ &\quad + \bar{A}_{22\Delta} \xi_2(t-h(t)) + \bar{E}_{02} f(\eta(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} V(\xi(t)) &= \xi_1^T(t) \bar{P}_{11}^{-1} \xi_1(t) + \int_{t-h(t)}^t \xi^T(s) \bar{P}^{-1} \bar{Q} \bar{P}^{-T} \xi(s) ds \\ &= \xi^T(t) \bar{P}^{-1} \bar{E} \xi(t) + \int_{t-h(t)}^t \xi^T(s) \bar{P}^{-1} \bar{Q} \bar{P}^{-T} \xi(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

According to, for example [1,3~5], we can immediately deduce that the following inequality holds

$$\dot{V}(\xi(t)) \leq \tilde{\xi}^T(t) \bar{P}^{-1} \bar{M}_{1\Delta} \bar{P}^{-T} \tilde{\xi}(t) \quad (24)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}(t) = [ \xi^T(t) \quad \xi^T(t-h(t)) ]$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_{1\Delta} &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{M}_{11\Delta} & \bar{A}_{1\Delta} \bar{P}^T \\ \bar{P} \bar{A}_{1\Delta}^T & (1-d)\bar{Q} \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{M}_{11\Delta} &= \bar{A}_{0\Delta} \bar{P}^T + \bar{P} \bar{A}_{0\Delta}^T + \bar{Q} + \\ &\quad \varepsilon \bar{E}_0 \bar{E}_0^T + \varepsilon^{-1} \bar{P} \bar{C}^T \bar{K}^T \bar{K} \bar{C} \bar{P}^T \end{aligned}$$

Consider uncertain expressions (4) and (5), the matrix  $\bar{M}_{1\Delta}$  can be rewritten as

$$\bar{M}_{1\Delta} = \bar{M}_1 + \Omega_1 F(x, t) \Omega_2 + (\Omega_1 F(x, t) \Omega_2)^T \quad (25)$$

where  $\bar{M}_1$  is  $\bar{M}_{1\Delta}$  without uncertainties  $\Delta \bar{A}_0()$  and  $\Delta \bar{A}_1()$ , and

$$\Omega_1^T = [ \bar{G}_1^T \quad 0 ], \Omega_2 = [ \bar{H}_1 \bar{P}^T \quad \bar{H}_2 \bar{P}^T ]$$

On the other hand, by Schur complement and (18) we have

$$\bar{M}_1 + \theta \Omega_1 \Omega_1^T + \theta^{-1} \Omega_2^T \Omega_2 < 0$$

According to [6] and (25), it can be deduced that

$$\bar{M}_{1\Delta} \leq \bar{M}_1 + \theta \Omega_1 \Omega_1^T + \theta^{-1} \Omega_2^T \Omega_2 < 0 \quad (26)$$

implying that for all  $\xi(t) \neq 0$

$$\dot{V}(\xi(t)) \leq -\lambda_{\hat{M}} \|\tilde{\xi}(t)\|^2 \leq -\lambda_{\hat{M}} \|\xi(t)\|^2 \quad (27)$$

where  $\lambda_{\hat{M}} = -\lambda_{\max}[\bar{P}^{-1}(\bar{M}_1 + \theta \Omega_1 \Omega_1^T + \theta^{-1} \Omega_2^T \Omega_2) \bar{P}^{-T}]$ .

Then we obtain, for a scalar  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de^{\beta t} V(\xi(t))}{dt} &= e^{\beta t} [\beta V(\xi(t)) + \dot{V}(\xi(t))] \leq \\ &e^{\beta t} [(\bar{\lambda}_1 \beta - \lambda_{\hat{M}}) \|\xi(t)\|^2 + \\ &\bar{\lambda}_2 \beta \int_{t-h(t)}^t \|\xi(s)\|^2 ds] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_{\max}(\bar{P}^{-1} \bar{E})$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_{\max}(\bar{P}^{-1} \bar{Q} \bar{P}^{-T})$ .

Since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are orthogonal matrices, it follows from (13) that  $\beta > 0$  is the unique root of the equation

$$\beta(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 h e^{\beta h}) = \lambda_{\hat{M}}$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality from 0 to  $t$  and then considering the following inequality<sup>[7]</sup>

$$\int_0^t e^{\beta t} (\int_{t-h(t)}^t \|\xi(s)\|^2 ds) dt \leq h e^{\beta h} \int_0^t e^{\beta s} \|\xi(s)\|^2 ds$$

we have

$$V(\xi(t)) \leq e^{-\beta t} V(\bar{\phi}(t))$$

where  $\bar{\phi}(t) = L_2^{-1} \phi(t)$ . Therefore,

$$\|\xi_1(t)\|^2 \leq (e^{-\beta t} / \lambda_{\min}(\bar{P}_{11}^{-1})) V(\bar{\phi}(t))$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_1(t)\| = 0 \quad (28)$$

Furthermore, by (23) and (24),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\min}(\bar{P}_{11}^{-1}) \|\xi_1(t)\|^2 - V(\bar{\phi}(t)) &\leq \int_0^t \dot{V}(\xi(s)) ds \leq \\ &-\lambda_{\hat{M}} \int_0^t \|\xi_2(s)\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

So, we can deduce that

$$\int_0^t \|\xi_2(s)\|^2 ds \leq (1/\lambda_{\hat{M}}) V(\bar{\phi}(t)) \quad (29)$$

According to (27), we have

$$\int_0^t \|\bar{E}_{02} f(\eta)\| dt \leq \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_3} (\|\xi_1(t)\| + \|\xi_2(t)\|) dt$$

where  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_{\max}[\bar{C}^T \bar{K}^T \bar{E}_{02}^T \bar{E}_{02} \bar{K} \bar{C}] \geq 0$ .

Taking into account the famous Barbalat's Lemma<sup>[8]</sup>, it follows from (6), (28), and (29) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{E}_{02} f(\eta) = 0 \quad (30)$$

Thus, combining (18) and (22) with (28) and (30), we can get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_2(t)\| = 0 \tag{31}$$

which implies that system  $\Sigma$  is robustly stable.

Next, we will show the  $H_\infty$  performance of system  $\Sigma$ . To this end, if the dimension of  $w(t)$   $p < n$ , substitute  $\tilde{w}^T(t) = [w^T(t) \ 0]$  for  $w^T(t)$  such that  $w(t) \in R^n$ . At the same time, substitute the matrices  $[B_0 \ 0]$  and  $[\Delta B_{10} \ 0]$  for matrices  $B_0$  and  $\Delta B_{10}(\cdot)$ , respectively, such that matrices  $B_0$  and  $\Delta B_{10}(\cdot) \in R^{n \times n}$ . Consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}\dot{\xi}(t) &= \bar{A}_{0\Delta}\xi(t) + \bar{A}_{1\Delta}\xi(t-h(t)) + \bar{E}_0f(\eta(t)) + \bar{B}_{0\Delta}\bar{w}(t) \\ z(t) &= \bar{L}\xi(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{w}(t) = L_2^{-1}w(t)$ . Similar to the derivation of (27), according to [6,9,10], we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{V}(\xi(t), w(t)) + z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2\bar{w}^T(t)\bar{w}(t) \\ &= \dot{V}(\xi(t), w(t)) + z^T(t)z(t) - \gamma^2w^T(t)w(t) \tag{32} \\ &\leq \zeta^T(t)\bar{P}^{-1}\bar{M}_2\bar{P}^{-T}\zeta(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta(t) = [\xi^T(t) \ \xi^T(t-h(t)) \ \bar{w}^T(t)]^T$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{M}_1 & \bar{B}_0\bar{P}^T \\ \bar{P}\bar{B}_0^T & -\gamma^2I \end{bmatrix} + \theta\bar{\Omega}_1\bar{\Omega}_1^T + \theta^{-1}\bar{\Omega}_2^T\bar{\Omega}_2 \\ \bar{\Omega}_1^T &= [\Omega_1^T \ 0], \bar{\Omega}_2 = [\Omega_2 \ \bar{H}_3\bar{P}^T] \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of (32) from 0 to  $\infty$  and taking into account  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi(t) = 0$ , (12c) and (18), we have

$$\int_0^\infty z^T z dt - \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty w^T w dt \leq V(\bar{\phi}(t)) \leq (\lambda_1 + h\lambda_2)\nu \leq \tau\gamma^2,$$

which implies that (11) is satisfied. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we are in the position to present the solution to the robust  $H_\infty$  filtering problem for uncertain Lurie time-delay singular systems.

Defining  $\varphi = [x^T(t) \ x_f^T(t)]^T$ , noting (4) and (5), and after extending the dimension of  $w(t)$ , we derive the following augmented model from system  $\Sigma$  and the filter  $\Sigma_f$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_e : \tilde{E}\dot{\varphi}(t) &= (\tilde{A}_0 + \Delta\tilde{A}_0(\cdot))\varphi(t) \\ &+ (\tilde{A}_1 + \Delta\tilde{A}_1(\cdot))\varphi(t-h(t)) \\ &+ \tilde{E}_0f(\sigma(t)) + (\tilde{B}_0 + \Delta\tilde{B}_0(\cdot))\bar{w}(t) \tag{33} \\ \tilde{z}(t) &= \tilde{L}\varphi(t), \sigma(t) = \tilde{C}\varphi(t) \\ \varphi(t) &= [\phi^T(t) \ 0]^T, t \in [-h, 0] \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{w}^T(t) = [w^T(t) \ 0]^T$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} &= \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{A}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ B_f C_0 & A_f \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{A}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ B_f C_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{E}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} E_0 \\ B_f E_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{B}_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & 0 \\ B_f B_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{L} = [L \ -L_f] \\ \tilde{C} &= [C \ 0], \Delta\tilde{A}_0(\cdot) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta A_0 & 0 \\ B_f \Delta C_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Delta\tilde{A}_1(\cdot) &= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta A_1 & 0 \\ B_f \Delta C_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Delta\tilde{B}_0(\cdot) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta B_0 & 0 \\ B_f \Delta B_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The parameter uncertainties in system  $\Sigma_e$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta\tilde{A}_0(\cdot) & \Delta\tilde{A}_1(\cdot) & \Delta\tilde{B}_0(\cdot) \\ \tilde{G}_1 F(x(t), t) & \tilde{H}_1 & \tilde{H}_2 \ \tilde{H}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ B_f G_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{H}_1 = [H_1 \ 0] \\ \tilde{H}_2 &= [H_2 \ 0], \tilde{H}_3 = [H_3 \ 0] \end{aligned}$$

As in the work of Gahinet and Apkarian<sup>[11]</sup>, it is assumed that the matrix  $P$  has the following form.

$$P^{-1} = \mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ Y^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} Y & W \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = X_1^{-1} X_2 \tag{35}$$

where  $Y > 0$  is a symmetric matrix and  $W$  is a nonsingular matrix. Then, (12a) is equivalent to

$$X_1 \mathcal{P} \tilde{E} X_1^T = X_1 \tilde{E}^T \mathcal{P}^T X_1^T$$

which implies that

$$YE = E^T Y \tag{36}$$

With the techniques in Theorem 1, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}' &= \text{diag}\{X_1, I, I, I, I, I, I, I, I\} \text{diag}\{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}, I, I, I, I, I\} M \times \\ &\text{diag}\{X_1^T, I, I, I, I, I, I, I, I\} \text{diag}\{\mathcal{P}^T, \mathcal{P}^T, \mathcal{P}^T, I, I, I, I, I\} < 0 \tag{37} \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon &= \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^T \mathbb{S}, \Phi = \mathcal{P} \tilde{Q} \mathcal{P}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ (\Phi_{11} = \Phi_{11}^T > 0 \text{ and } \Phi_{22} = \Phi_{22}^T > 0) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the following inequality holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M} &= \text{diag}\{\text{diag}(I, Y), I, I, I, I, I, I, I, I\} M' \times \\ &\text{diag}\{\text{diag}(I, Y), I, I, I, I, I, I, I, I\} < 0 \tag{38} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{M} < 0$  is denoted by inequality (39), with  $\tilde{\Phi}_{22} = Y\Phi_{22}Y$ , and

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{M}_1 & \hat{M}_2 \\ \hat{M}_3 & \hat{M}_4 \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} & \Theta_{13} \\ \Theta_{12}^T & -\gamma^2\Upsilon & 0 \\ \Theta_{13}^T & 0 & -(1-d)\Phi \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{M}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{14} & \Theta_{15} & \Theta_{16} & \Theta_{17} & \Theta_{18} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_{27} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_{37} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{M}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{14}^T & 0 & 0 \\ \Theta_{15}^T & 0 & 0 \\ \Theta_{16}^T & 0 & 0 \\ \Theta_{17}^T & \Theta_{27}^T & \Theta_{37}^T \\ \Theta_{18}^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{M}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon^{-1}I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\varepsilon I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\theta^{-1}I \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} \text{sym}(YA_0 + \Gamma C_0) + \Phi_{11} & \text{sym}(YA_0) + \Gamma C_0 + \Pi \\ \text{sym}(YA_0) + C_0^T \Gamma^T + \Pi^T & \text{sym}(YA_0) + \Phi_{11} + \Phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Theta_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} YA_1 + \Gamma C_1 & 0 \\ YA_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Theta_{37} = \begin{bmatrix} H_2^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Theta_{12} &= \begin{bmatrix} YB_0 + \Gamma B_1 & 0 \\ YB_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Theta_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} YE_0 + \Gamma E_1 \\ YE_0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \Theta_{15} &= \begin{bmatrix} C^T K^T \\ C^T K^T \end{bmatrix}, \Theta_{16} = \begin{bmatrix} L^T \\ L^T + \mathcal{L}^T \end{bmatrix} \\ \Theta_{17} &= \begin{bmatrix} H_1^T \\ H_1^T \end{bmatrix}, \Theta_{18} = \begin{bmatrix} YG_1 + \Gamma G_2 \\ YG_1 \end{bmatrix}, \Theta_{27} = \begin{bmatrix} H_3^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Synthesizing the above analysis, we derive the main results in this section.

**Theorem 2.** Consider the uncertain Lurie time-delay singular system  $\Sigma$  together with (7) and (8). For given scalars  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\sigma > 0$ , So the robust  $H_\infty$  filtering problem is solvable if there exist a matrix  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Pi$ , a positive definite symmetric  $Y$ ,  $\Phi_{11}$ ,  $\Phi_{22}$ .  $\tilde{\Phi}_{22} = Y\Phi_{22}Y$ , and scalars  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\theta > 0$ , such that equality (36), LMI (39) and (12'') hold, and  $\beta > 0$  is the unique root of (40)

$$\beta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 h e^{\beta h}) = \lambda_{\tilde{M}'_{11}} \quad (40)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \lambda_{\max}(YE) \\ \lambda_2 &= \max(\lambda_{\max}(\Phi_{11}), \lambda_{\max}(\Phi_{22})) \\ \lambda_{\tilde{M}'_{11}} &= \lambda_{\max}(\tilde{M}'_{11}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{M}'_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{M}'_{111} & \tilde{M}'_{112} \\ \tilde{M}'_{113} & \tilde{M}'_{114} \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{M}'_{111} &= [\Theta_{11} + \varepsilon\Theta_{14}\Theta_{14}^T + \theta\Theta_{18}\Theta_{18}^T + \theta^{-1}\Theta_{17}\Theta_{17}^T] \\ \tilde{M}'_{112} &= \Theta_{13} + \theta^{-1}\Theta_{17}\Theta_{37}^T \\ \tilde{M}'_{113} &= \Theta_{13}^T + \theta^{-1}\Theta_{37}\Theta_{17}^T \\ \tilde{M}'_{114} &= [-(1-d) \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} + \theta^{-1}\Theta_{37}\Theta_{37}^T] \end{aligned}$$

In this case, a suitable  $H_\infty$  filter in the form of (7) and (8) is given by

$$A_f = W^{-1}\Pi Y^{-1}, B_f = W^{-1}\Gamma, L_f = \mathcal{L}Y^{-1},$$

where  $W$  is arbitrary nonsingular matrix.

**Proof.** From the above analysis and Theorem 1, the desired result follows immediately.  $\square$

### References

- 1 Xu S, Dooren P V, Stefan R, Lam J. Robust stability and stabilization for singular systems with state delay and parameter uncertainty. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2002, **47**(7): 1122~1128
- 2 Dai L. *Singular Control Systems*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1989
- 3 Fridman E, Shaked U, Xie L. Robust  $H_\infty$  filtering of linear systems with time-varying delay. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2003, **48**(1): 159~165
- 4 Xu S, Lam J, Zhang Q L. Robust  $D$ -stability analysis for uncertain discrete singular systems with state delay. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 2002, **49**(4): 551~555
- 5 Lu R Q, Huang W J, Sun H Y, Chu J. Robust  $H_\infty$  control for a class of uncertain lurie singular systems with time-delays. *Acta Automatica Sinica*, 2004, **30**(6): 920~927
- 6 Masubuchi I, Kamitane Y, Ohara A, Suda N.  $H_\infty$  control for descriptor systems: A matrix inequalities approach. *Automatica*, 1997, **33**(4): 669~673
- 7 Mao X. Exponential stability of stochastic delay interval systems with Markovian switching. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2002, **47**(10): 1604~1612

8 Krstic M, Deng H. *Stabilization of Nonlinear Uncertain Systems*. London, UK: Springer-Verlag, 1998

9 Boyd S, Ghaoui L E I, Feron E, Balakrishnan V. *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*. Philadelphia: SIAM, 1994

10 De Souza C E, Xie L, Wang Y.  $H_\infty$  filtering for a class of uncertain nonlinear systems. *Systems Control Letters*, 1993, **20**(6): 419~426

11 Gahinet P, Apkarian P. A linear matrix inequality approach to  $H_\infty$  control. *International Journal on Robust Nonlinear Control*, 1994, **4**(3): 421~448



**LU Ren-Quan** Associate professor in Institute of Information and Control, at Hangzhou Dianzi University, and is now doing postdoctoral research in Institute of Advanced Process Control at Zhejiang University. His research interest covers robust control and singular system control. Corresponding author of this paper. E-mail: rqlu@hdu.edu.cn



**WANG Jun-Hong** Assistant professor in Institute of Information and Control at Hangzhou Dianzi University. His research interest include robust control. E-mail: jh-wang@hdu.edu.cn



**XUE An-Ke** Received his Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University in 1997, and is now president, professor at Hangzhou Dianzi University. His research interest covers robust control theory and applications. E-mail: akxue@hdu.edu.cn



**SU Hong-Ye** Received his Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University in 1995, and is now a professor in Institute of Advanced Process Control at Zhejiang University. His research interest covers time-delay system control, robust control, and nonlinear control. E-mail: hysu@iipc.zju.edu.cn



**CHU Jian** Received his Ph.D. degree from Kyoto University of Japan in 1989, and is now a professor in Institute of Advanced Process Control at Zhejiang University. His research interest covers time-delay system control, CIPS, and advanced process control. E-mail: jchu@iipc.zju.edu.cn